UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,079	07/08/2003	Kirk D. Swenson	44352	8339
Scott J. Rittman	7590 04/12/2019 a, Esq.	EXAMINER		
Becton, Dickinson and Company 1 Becton Drive Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417-1880			SQUIRES, ELIZA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision			
from Pre-Appeal Brief			
Review			

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/614,079	SWENSON ET AL.
	Art Unit
ROBERT W. MORGAN	3626
	_

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed 22 February 2010.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the P ☐ Other: . 	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because this required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this description that receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant th 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the c Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) <u>ROBERT W. MORGAN</u> .	(3) Vincent Millin /vm/
(2) <u>Eliza Squires</u> .	Appeals Conference Speci
	(4)
/Robert Morgan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626	